


Our Case Number: ABP-316272-23



An  
Bord  
Pleanála

Dearbhail Shannon  
6 The Townhouses  
Terenure Road East  
Terenure  
Dublin 6  
D06 F7V2

**Date:** 10 July 2023

**Re:** Bus Connects Templeogue/Rathfarnham to City Centre Core Bus Corridor Scheme  
Templeogue/Rathfarnham to City Centre

Dear Sir / Madam,

An Bord Pleanála has received your recent submission in relation to the above-mentioned proposed road development and will take it into consideration in its determination of the matter. Please accept this letter as a receipt for the fee of €50 that you have paid.

Please note that the proposed road development shall not be carried out unless the Board has approved it or approved it with modifications.

The Board has also received an application for confirmation of a compulsory purchase order which relates to this proposed road development. The Board has absolute discretion to hold an oral hearing in respect of any application before it, in accordance with section 218 of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended. Accordingly, the Board will inform you in due course on this matter. The Board shall also make a decision on both applications at the same time.

If you have any queries in relation to this matter please contact the undersigned officer of the Board.

Please quote the above-mentioned An Bord Pleanála reference number in any correspondence or telephone contact with the Board.

Yours faithfully,

Eimear Reilly  
Executive Officer  
Direct Line: 01-8737184

HA02A

Teil	Tel	(01) 858 8100
Glaio Áitiúil	LoCall	1800 275 175
Facs	Fax	(01) 872 2684
Láithreán Gréasáin	Website	www.pleanala.ie
Ríomhphost	Email	bord@pleanala.ie

64 Sráid Maoilbhríde	64 Marlborough Street
Baile Átha Cliath 1	Dublin 1
D01 V902	D01 V902

AN BORD PLEANÁLA

LOG-064670(KA)+064671/6

ABP-316337(KA)+316272(HIA)

21 JUN 2023

Type: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_

The Townhouses,  
Terenure Road East,  
Dublin 6.

DOB F7U2.  
16th June 2023

To whom it concerns,

I would be most grateful  
if receipt of my submission to  
you concerning the Bus Corridors  
proposals set out for Templeogue/  
Rathfarnham to City Centre Bus  
Guided Scheme 2023 and my objection  
to the same is acknowledged.

Yours sincerely,

Richard A. Shannon

**AN BORD PLEANÁLA**  
LDG- \_\_\_\_\_  
ABP- \_\_\_\_\_  
**21 JUN 2023**  
Fee: € \_\_\_\_\_ Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
Time: \_\_\_\_\_ By: Reg Post

Terenure Road East,  
Terenure,  
Dublin 6.  
D06 F7V2  
16th June 2023

To/For the attention of:

An Bord Pleanála (Strategic Infrastructure Division)

64, Marlborough Street,

Dublin 1,

D01 V902

I am the owner occupier of the property as per the address above, namely, 6, The Townhouses, Terenure Road East, Dublin 6, D06 F7V2. This property, my home, is a property affected by a compulsory purchase order under the proposed **Templeogue/Rathfarnham to City Centre Core Bus Corridor Scheme 2023**.

I wish to object to the Planning Application that has been submitted by The National Transport Authority under Section 51 of the Roads Act 1993 (as amended) in relation to the **Templeogue/Rathfarnham to City Centre Core Bus Corridor Scheme 2023** to An Bord Pleanála. I also wish to object to the associated Compulsory Purchase Order 2023 on my property and home (Plot List: 1048(1).1,1048(2).2d).

Prior to setting out my objections it is important to highlight the difficulty that remains in truly comprehending the full impact of the proposed Compulsory Purchase Order on my property when detailed drawings of the final designs, with the inclusion of profile drawings have not been provided. It is hoped that by right this issue may be addressed at the oral hearing stage, if not beforehand.

I now set out my objections, they are as follows:

**1. The Consultative Process to Date**

The so-called 'consultative process' has not been fair, democratic or inclusive. The impact of the Covid-19 pandemic brought about ongoing movement restrictions and limited social gatherings, this made it impossible for home-owners, residents and local communities to gather together to discuss the implication and impact of Bus Connects as proposed. Not all residents or community members are fully competent with online/internet technology, this made it both difficult to access Bus Connects information and maps and also made registering for and accessing virtual 'consultation' meetings challenging, if not impossible. To have, directly and indirectly affected residents and community members excluded from online community consultation meetings is neither just nor democratic. Those who did virtually attend community meetings, myself included, found the format of the meetings



limited discussion, hindered inclusion and the necessary exchange of opinions. Hence, not a consultative process.

Resident and community concerns and issues pertaining to Bus Connects raised by local public representatives across the political parties in both **Dublin City Council** and **South Dublin County Council** seem to have been negated with scant regard to existing local authority development plans. It appears that the conservation authorities in both Dublin City Council and South Dublin County Council were not consulted in regard to the adverse impact of the NTA's proposals in regard to Bus Connects on the historic suburban villages of Terenure, Rathgar, Templeogue, Rathmines and Kimmage and on those who live and work in these heritage villages.

**The Dublin City Development Plan 2016-2023** provides an "integrated, coherent spatial framework to ensure the city is developed in an inclusive way which improves the quality of life for its citizens, whilst also being a more attractive place to visit and work." The NTA proposals, as they stand, are in direct conflict with the Dublin City Development Plan 2016-2023 in regard to the future development of Dublin's historic urban villages and their local communities. It behoves all residents and citizens to preserve this heritage and the NTA to factor this into the Bus Connects planning process.

Local residents support local businesses in suburban villages, this happens to an even greater extent since the Covid-19 pandemic, neither group support irreparable damage to such thriving villages. For many, there is a feeling that legitimate concerns and objections have not been listened to during the 'consultation' process. A better staged and more transparent, proper consultation process would have provided more democratic engagement by facilitating discussion and dialogue with all concerned, namely residents, local traders and businesses, resident associations etcetera. There is a merit in using the combined local knowledge of those who live and work in or close to all suburban villages to draft proposals for Bus Connects that are based on consensus and compromise.

**The Aarhus Convention** enables members of the public to take part in making decisions where there may be an environmental impact. The NTA Bus Connects 'consultation' process has not promoted full and inclusive public participation in decision-making regarding a scheme that will affect the environment. The difficulty experienced by local residents and neighbours when seeking clarification on the proposals in addition to the fact that not all neighbours were simultaneously in receipt of revised plans (indicated, in a personal capacity, to the NTA on 14/04/2022) does not ensure a fair, complete and equitable consultation process.

## **2. Land Take via CPOs as proposed for Terenure Road East versus Traffic Volume/Density as exists and as likely on proposed Core Bus Corridor 12; Impact on Treescape and Landscape**

On Terenure Road East traffic density is not high at all times in any given 24 hour period for 365 days a year; it is heavier at limited peak times both in the early morning and the late afternoon to early evening, essentially 4-5 days a week during the academic year. A **priority bus signalling approach**, already set up and operating with success, staggers car traffic to speed up public bus transport along Terenure Road East with little or no cost. For this reason, it makes no sense environmentally or economically to proceed with the proposed core bus corridor 12 section that requires CPOs from Greenmount Road to Argos House.

The fact that work patterns have changed with an increase in work from home initiatives or hybrid working, a process accelerated by the Covid -19 pandemic makes the proposed land take scheme unnecessary.

The NTA now accepts that the Core Bus Corridor 12 route will reduce the volume of traffic on Terenure Road East ([www.templeogueathfarnhamschem.ie](http://www.templeogueathfarnhamschem.ie), chapter 6, page 161). The planned Bus gate initiatives at Military Road, Rathmines and at Terenure Library, Templeogue Road will divert outbound city centre traffic from Rathmines, Rathgar and Terenure. Such car traffic will be obliged to cross over the canal at Charlemont bridge or Harold's Cross bridge. Only Terenure Road East residents and other local residents are likely to transit through Rathgar Village via Highfield Road. Using Terenure Road East as a through road will present challenges due to the impact of the new right turn at Terenure Cross for buses coming from the direction of Rathfarnham Village and also due to the impact of the Terenure Library Bus Gate.

With reduced traffic volume likely and wise retention of the existing successful priority bus signalling system it is illogical for the NTA to go ahead with a land take that will incur a high financial cost, in part from CPO compensation alone.

It will also cause permanent damage to the **period and other homes**/properties including many **protected structures**, a process necessitating the removal of unique and original railings, pillars and stone boundary walls.

Furthermore, beautiful mature trees will be felled and sections of mature gardens removed to allow for road widening. The many trees on Terenure Road East enhance the landscape of the road and the vistas from Terenure and Rathgar villages, they improve the view and aspect of so many homes – both houses and apartments – in the locality. These **mature, indigenous trees** have a historic context and are an important part of the vista and visual imprint of Terenure for over a hundred years, for example, the beautiful trees in Beaumont House. The arborist Charles McCorkell has indicated that the plan as it stands will damage the roots of these fine tree specimens endangering them. Urban and traffic planning in other cities (for example, Naarm/Melbourne in Australia) seldom seek to destroy existing nature that promotes biodiversity and green communities in cities and suburbs.

### **3. Irreversible Damage to the Landscape and to the Heritage Properties and Suburban Villages**

The effect of CPOs on properties along Terenure Road East, Rathfarnham Road and Rathgar Road, in some cases of up to six metres to facilitate road widening for Core Bus Corridor 12 will destroy the historical fabric of the locality and impact negatively on individual homes.

Along some routes, as indicated above, the bus corridor will funnel bus traffic into narrower routes/spaces/junctions that can not be widened. Evidence of an impact study via computer aided traffic modelling should be available, such a study should be undertaken vis a vis this problem.

Traffic patterns and volume have altered since the Covid-19 pandemic necessitating consideration of alternative solutions to costly road widening such as improved bus frequency or a cashless bus service for commuters. Such alternatives are more likely to make



commuting by public transport more time efficient as well as cost efficient by eliminating CPO compensation.

The **architectural heritage** of suburban villages like Terenure and Rathgar and roads such as Terenure Road East must be preserved. Victorian and Edwardian streetscapes merit protection for all in the locality and all visiting the city centre and suburbs. Local villages, the **local economy** and **local community** will suffer as a result of little or no **access to local on-street parking, lack of access to loading bays** and the advent of constant public transport through traffic. **Historic urban villages** must not go into decline which is detrimental on a social and community basis to locals or indeed become extinct as has happened with parts of historic Georgian Dublin in the past.

The proposed bus corridor 12 routes are likely to impact on adjoining and small side-roads that service residential areas and in some cases schools and clubs with increased 'rat-running' as a result of one-way systems and new bus gates.

Some **proposed cycle paths** make little sense as they are unlikely to be used by commuter cyclists if they are too far off the main access routes, for example, Terenure Road East. Also, turning right at Terenure Cross towards Rathgar will be hazardous and while segregated cycle lanes are desirable it will be hard to ensure such lanes remain safe and/or segregated in suburban villages requiring active loading bays at designated times and where all lanes must funnel into a narrower route.

#### 4. Cost Analysis

No clear cost benefit analysis exists, an estimated €2 billion with additional expenditure likely does not represent balanced or value for money public spending. Such an outlay to move, for example, from 63 to a projected 70 buses per hour on Terenure Road East is an excessive investment. Guaranteeing additional buses to meet capacity along with the necessary bus operators/drivers will clearly be challenging.

The need for increased bus capacity is questionable and must be reviewed in light of altered hybrid working trends that suit suburban employees and also employers reducing high rent city centre office/workspace.

#### 5. Failure to Implement Aspects of the Bus Connects Plan to Assess Impact and to Consider implementing alternative Solutions on a Trial Basis

According to the NTA the introduction of a cashless public bus service will cover almost 50% of the bus journey savings it is predicting. Bus priority signalling systems where implemented reduce commute times. Implementing a cashless system on a trial basis is essential and efficient priority signalling for buses should be further assessed to review and compare time and prescribed times savings brought about by such low cost initiatives and those savings generated by the proposed core bus corridor 12 route.

In the long term, an underground metro system or South Dublin Metro or Luas line is likely to be more effective than the current Bus Connects plan, in addition to being more environment friendly and less destructive to historic localities and villages along the route.

Alternative measures that should be considered and put on a medium-term trial before Bus Connects proceeds include:

- i) A mandatory cashless public bus service
- ii) Banning private cars (except for residents and less abled drivers) in the city centre at prescribed times
- iii) Introduction of a congestion charge in the city centre
- iv) A system of community school buses to service local primary and post-primary schools or free travel for school going students
- v) Improved bus frequency at peak times
- vi) Increased time periods for bus access at priority bus signalling lights
- vii) Review of traffic light synchronisation at points along the proposed routes by an independent body, many motorists feel that traffic light signalling may be adjusted to create congestion (for example, Rathdown onto Rathfarnham Road)
- viii) Severe penalties/fines for motorists using bus lanes/corridors.
- ix) Free park and ride facilities in the outer suburbs for commuters using public transport on core bus corridor 12
- x) Free public transport as in some European cities

It must be noted that outside of peak times or rush hour in the early morning or late afternoon to early evening, approximately 4 to 5 hours daily particularly on school days in term time that traffic flows quite freely.

#### **6. Impact of Core Bus corridor 12 on Terenure Cross, Terenure Village, Terenure Road East and Rathgar**

The new right turn for buses, taxis and cyclists from Rathfarnham Road onto Terenure Road East occurs at an already busy and complex junction at Terenure Cross. Terenure village will be subject to increased traffic congestion impacting on local traders and community members and residents accessing the village. The safety of cyclists and pedestrians in and around Terenure Cross must be considered if this proposal goes ahead, also the impact of extra buses on Terenure Road East for those who reside on this road.

Increased through traffic in Terenure and Rathgar villages will cause reduced business for local traders as customers and patrons lose on-street parking spaces or have restricted parking. Running a business is more difficult as loading bay restrictions are introduced. It is unfair that those environmental impact studies that are available have been issued late in the day making the process of detailed review challenging for concerned residents and local traders.

#### **7. Impact of One-Way Systems and Bus Gates on Secondary or Small Local Roads**

Traffic diverting from one-way roads or bus gates will increase traffic flow or 'rat-running' on smaller residential roads. This will increase noise pollution, make such roads less safe for pedestrians and cyclists, also school and other children where schools or creches are located. These residential roads are not designed to support heavy through traffic.

The Bus Connects plan remains poorly integrated and the dearth of traffic modelling studies makes it impossible to be confident that residential areas will not be subject to

unprecedented traffic flow. The impact of the Bus Gate at Military Road and the one-way system on Rathgar Road severely limits options for those living in this locality both going into town or coming out of town by car, the latter also creates an issue returning from Rathmines by car where you reside on or near Rathgar Road or on Terenure Road East.

The full implication of the Bus Connects proposals are not clearly set out, integrated traffic modelling has not been instigated by the NTA making it impossible to critically analyse the full impact of measures across the north and south city. Despite offering a personal engagement, the NTA and its representatives have declined to engage in a personal meeting or contact when requested. There remains a huge, negative impact to those who live along or close to the Core Bus Corridor 12 as currently proposed.

As my property is subject to a CPO under the Templeogue/Rathfarnham to City Centre Core Bus Corridor 12 Scheme 2023, it is my understanding that I am not obliged to pay the customary fee of €50.

Yours,

*Dearbhail Ann Shannon*

Dearbhail Shannon

6, The Townhouses,  
Terenure Road East,  
Terenure,  
Dublin 6.  
D06 F7V2.

16<sup>th</sup> June 2023